World Conference on Social Sciences, Law and Public Policy
Hosted Online from Toronto, Canada
Date: 26th May 2026
Website: https://econferencia.com

ENHANCED ADAPTIVE FORECASTING MODEL AS A DECISION-SUPPORT TOOL IN TECHNOLOGY-ORIENTED FINANCIAL MARKETS

Oybek Abdukhamidov
Master's Student, Turin Polytechnic University

Abstract

Forecasting stock performance in technology-oriented financial markets has become increasingly difficult due to the growing complexity of market behavior, rapid innovation cycles, and the constant influence of uncertainty. Unlike traditional industries, technology-oriented firms are often valued not only on the basis of their current financial indicators but also according to expectations regarding future growth, innovation potential, competitive advantage, and market expansion. This characteristic creates a forecasting environment in which conventional analytical models may provide only a limited understanding of future stock performance.

The purpose of this thesis is to present the Enhanced Adaptive Forecasting Model (EAFM) as a decision-support tool for evaluating stock performance under conditions of financial uncertainty. The model is based on the idea that effective forecasting should not depend exclusively on historical data or static statistical relationships. Instead, forecasting systems should integrate several analytical dimensions and continuously adapt to changing market conditions.

The proposed EAFM consists of six interconnected components: environmental monitoring, volatility assessment, behavioral analysis, market interaction evaluation, forecast integration, and adaptive feedback. Each component performs a specific analytical function within the overall forecasting process.

Environmental monitoring focuses on identifying external factors capable of influencing stock performance. These may include macroeconomic trends, interest-rate changes, inflationary pressures, geopolitical risks, regulatory developments, and technological transformations. In technology-oriented markets, this component is especially important because innovation-related news and market expectations may rapidly affect investor behavior.

Volatility assessment represents the second component of the model. Volatility is not only a measure of risk but also an important indicator of uncertainty and changing market expectations. When volatility increases, forecasting errors may also increase because market relationships become less stable. Therefore, volatility analysis allows investors and analysts to adjust forecasting assumptions and apply more cautious interpretation during unstable periods.

The third component is behavioral analysis. Financial markets are strongly influenced by investor psychology. Overconfidence, fear, herd behavior, optimism, pessimism, and loss aversion may all affect stock prices. In technology-oriented markets, behavioral influences are particularly strong because investors often react to expectations about future innovation rather than current financial performance alone. By including behavioral analysis, the EAFM provides a more realistic understanding of stock-price dynamics.

Market interaction evaluation is the fourth component. Technology firms are connected to broader market indices, sector movements, and global financial trends. Correlation and regression-based interpretation may help identify whether a company's stock performance is mainly influenced by general market conditions or by firm-specific factors. This distinction is important because forecasting strategies should differ depending on the source of market movement.

Forecast integration forms the fifth component of the model. At this stage, information from different analytical sources is combined into a unified

forecasting perspective. Instead of relying on a single method, the EAFM integrates statistical indicators, volatility measures, behavioral interpretation, and market-structure analysis. This multidimensional approach reduces the weakness of isolated forecasting techniques.

The final component is adaptive feedback. This is the most important feature of the model. Forecasting outcomes should be reviewed continuously, and model assumptions should be adjusted when market conditions change. Adaptive feedback transforms forecasting from a one-time prediction exercise into a continuous learning process. This makes the model more suitable for uncertain and rapidly changing financial environments.

The practical value of the EAFM lies in its ability to support decision-making for different market participants. Investors may use the model to evaluate risk-adjusted opportunities. Portfolio managers may apply it to improve asset-allocation and risk-management strategies. Financial analysts may use it to interpret stock performance more comprehensively. Corporate managers may also benefit from the model when making strategic planning and capital-allocation decisions.

The model is especially relevant for technology-oriented firms such as Apple, Microsoft, Tesla, Nvidia, and similar innovation-driven companies. These firms operate in markets where investor expectations, technological development, and volatility play a decisive role. Therefore, a forecasting approach that incorporates uncertainty, behavioral factors, and continuous adaptation may provide greater practical usefulness than traditional static models.

In conclusion, the Enhanced Adaptive Forecasting Model offers a broader and more flexible approach to stock-performance forecasting under financial uncertainty. Its main advantage lies in the integration of environmental, volatility-based, behavioral, market-related, and feedback-oriented components. The model

does not aim to eliminate uncertainty completely. Instead, it seeks to improve the quality of decision-making under uncertainty. This makes the EAFM a valuable conceptual and practical tool for technology-oriented financial markets.

References

[1] Lo, A. W. (2004). The Adaptive Markets Hypothesis: Market Efficiency from an Evolutionary Perspective. *The Journal of Portfolio Management, 30*(5), 15–29.

[2] Gu, S., Kelly, B., & Xiu, D. (2020). Empirical Asset Pricing via Machine Learning. *The Review of Financial Studies, 33*(5), 2223–2273.

[3] Bollerslev, T. (1986). Generalized Autoregressive Conditional Heteroskedasticity. *Journal of Econometrics, 31*(3), 307–327.

[4] Engle, R. F. (1982). Autoregressive Conditional Heteroscedasticity with Estimates of the Variance of United Kingdom Inflation. *Econometrica, 50*(4), 987–1007.

[5] Kahneman, D., & Tversky, A. (1979). Prospect Theory: An Analysis of Decision under Risk. *Econometrica, 47*(2), 263–291.

[6] Shiller, R. J. (2000). *Irrational Exuberance*. Princeton University Press.

[7] Fama, E. F. (1970). Efficient Capital Markets: A Review of Theory and Empirical Work. *The Journal of Finance, 25*(2), 383–417.

[8] Fischer, T., & Krauss, C. (2018). Deep Learning with Long Short-Term Memory Networks for Financial Market Predictions. *European Journal of Operational Research, 270*(2), 654–669.

[9] Henrique, B. M., Sobreiro, V. A., & Kimura, H. (2019). Literature Review: Machine Learning Techniques Applied to Financial Market Prediction. *Expert Systems with Applications, 124*, 226–251.

[10] Bloom, N. (2009). The Impact of Uncertainty Shocks. *Econometrica, 77*(3), 623–685.