



World Conference on Social Sciences, Law and Public Policy

Hosted Online from Toronto, Canada

Date: 26th June 2026

Website: <https://econferencia.com>

SOGDIAN MERCHANTS AS TRANSREGIONAL MEDIATORS: TRADE, DIPLOMACY, AND CULTURAL CONNECTIVITY IN CENTRAL ASIA UNDER THE TURKIC KHAGANATE

Gulliev Nurbek Boynazarovich
Qarshi State University, Uzbekistan

Abstract

This paper examines the role of Sogdian communities in the international relations of Central Asian oasis polities during the sixth–eighth centuries. Sogdians should not be viewed merely as commercial intermediaries along the Silk Roads. Their merchant networks, multilingual competence, settlement communities, and access to political elites enabled them to operate simultaneously as economic brokers, diplomatic intermediaries, cultural transmitters, and agents of regional connectivity. The study combines historical-comparative analysis of written sources with contextual interpretation of archaeological and material evidence. The findings suggest that Sogdian agency contributed substantially to the integration of otherwise competing political and economic zones and that their networks helped transform the Silk Roads into a multidirectional system of exchange rather than a single east–west corridor.

Keywords: Sogdians; Sogdiana; Turkic Khaganate; Silk Roads; Central Asia; Maniakh; Byzantium; Sasanian Empire; Tang China; diplomacy; trade networks; cultural exchange.

Introduction

The sixth–eighth centuries represented a decisive period in the transformation of Central Eurasian connectivity. The rise of the Turkic Khaganate created a political framework extending across a vast zone from Inner Asia toward the



World Conference on Social Sciences, Law and Public Policy

Hosted Online from Toronto, Canada

Date: 26th June 2026

Website: <https://econferencia.com>

western steppe, while Sui and Tang China, the Sasanian Empire, and Byzantium competed and cooperated across overlapping economic and diplomatic spaces. The submitted study emphasizes that control of the major routes of the Silk Roads was an important dimension of this international competition. Central Asia occupied a particularly strategic position because its oasis polities connected China, Iran, India, the western steppe and the Mediterranean world.

The evidence indicates that Sogdian communities were deeply embedded in this system. Sogdiana itself consisted of several oasis centers, including Samarkand and Bukhara, and retained substantial internal autonomy while coming under the political influence of larger empires. International scholarship likewise identifies the sixth–eighth centuries as the high point of Sogdian commercial activity across the overland Silk Roads. Yoshida notes that Sogdian networks extended beyond China to Mongolia, Tibet, India, Persia, Byzantium and Eastern Europe, while documents from Turfan record a wide range of commodities and collaborative merchant activity.

The central question of this paper is therefore not simply what Sogdians traded, but how their networks affected political relations among Central Asian oasis polities and the major imperial powers surrounding them. The paper proposes that commerce and diplomacy were closely interconnected: merchants required political protection and access to markets, while rulers depended on merchant networks for revenue, information, luxury goods and diplomatic communication.

Methods

The study employs a qualitative historical methodology based on source criticism, comparative-historical analysis and contextual interpretation. The submitted material is treated as the primary analytical basis. It is supplemented



World Conference on Social Sciences, Law and Public Policy

Hosted Online from Toronto, Canada

Date: 26th June 2026

Website: <https://econferencia.com>

with international scholarship on Sogdian trade, Sogdian communities in China, Turko-Byzantine diplomacy, and the Ancient Letters.

The source base includes Chinese historical traditions, Byzantine accounts associated with Menander Protector and related authors, Sogdian documentary evidence, archaeological and artistic material, and modern studies of Silk Road networks. Particular attention is given to evidence that allows commercial and diplomatic activity to be considered together. Rather than assuming that merchants were politically neutral actors, the analysis evaluates how their economic interests could shape diplomatic initiatives and route selection.

Results

First, Sogdian activity was geographically extensive and institutionally organized. The submitted study describes Sogdian settlements extending from Central Asia through Kucha and Turfan toward Luoyang and Changan. International research on the Ancient Letters provides an earlier documentary demonstration of Sogdian merchant and family networks in western China, including Dunhuang, Jiuquan, Wuwei and other staging points. These letters mention commodities such as gold, silver, musk, pepper, wheat, silk and textiles, revealing the practical commercial foundations of long-distance connectivity.

Second, the relationship between Sogdians and the Turkic Khaganate created a particularly important political-economic partnership. The submitted study argues that Sogdian merchants benefited from Turkic political protection while the khaganate benefited from their ability to commercialize silk and connect distant markets. This relationship should be understood as reciprocal rather than as a simple division between nomadic rulers and sedentary merchants. Sogdian expertise helped translate political power into economic connectivity.



World Conference on Social Sciences, Law and Public Policy

Hosted Online from Toronto, Canada

Date: 26th June 2026

Website: <https://econferencia.com>

Third, Sogdian diplomacy became visible at the highest international level in the sixth century. The best-known case is the mission of Maniakh to Constantinople in 568. Menander Protector's account, together with modern scholarship, shows that Sogdian merchants sought to overcome restrictions associated with the Sasanian-controlled trade corridor and encouraged a direct relationship between the Turks and Byzantium. The initiative was connected to the marketing of large quantities of silk accumulated by the Turkic rulers. Thus, a commercial problem generated a diplomatic initiative with strategic consequences.

Fourth, the northern route became increasingly significant. International scholarship on the Sogdian presence in the western steppe and Crimea indicates that Sogdian commercial activity was not confined to the classic Central Asian–Iranian corridor. The possibility of bypassing Sasanian territory encouraged connections through the Caspian, Caucasus, Black Sea and Crimean regions. This demonstrates that the Silk Roads operated as a flexible network of alternative routes rather than a fixed road.

Fifth, Sogdian influence extended beyond trade into cultural and technological exchange. The submitted study emphasizes the circulation of music, artistic forms, religious traditions and material culture. Modern scholarship similarly characterizes Sogdians as multilingual mediators and translators in China. Their communities contributed to the transmission of Buddhism, Manichaeism, Christianity and Zoroastrian traditions, while Sogdian artistic forms influenced neighboring societies, including Turkic and Tang elites.

Discussion

The findings support a broader interpretation of Sogdian agency. Sogdians occupied an unusual position between local oasis rulers and imperial powers. Their homeland was neither an isolated commercial zone nor a fully independent



World Conference on Social Sciences, Law and Public Policy

Hosted Online from Toronto, Canada

Date: 26th June 2026

Website: <https://econferencia.com>

centralized empire. Instead, Sogdiana consisted of interconnected oasis polities whose political autonomy could coexist with subordination to larger powers. This political flexibility helped merchants operate across changing frontiers.

The Maniakh episode is especially important because it demonstrates that economic actors could influence interstate diplomacy. The Sogdian objective was commercial, but the solution required the creation of a new political relationship between the Turkic Khaganate and Byzantium. The Byzantine side also had strategic interests in cooperation with the Turks because of its rivalry with the Sasanians and concerns on other frontiers. Consequently, the embassy illustrates the interaction of commerce, diplomacy and geopolitics rather than a purely commercial transaction.

The evidence also complicates the conventional image of the Silk Road as a one-way movement of Chinese silk toward the West. The submitted study correctly stresses multidirectional exchange: textiles, precious metals, stones, glass, livestock, manuscripts, religious ideas and people moved in different directions. Recent scholarship reinforces this view by demonstrating that Sogdian networks connected a much wider area than China and Iran alone.

At the same time, Sogdian influence should not be exaggerated into a claim that merchants controlled the entire Silk Road independently of states. Their mobility depended on political structures, security and access to markets. The evidence instead points to a negotiated relationship in which rulers provided protection and merchants supplied expertise, revenue and transregional connections. This reciprocal system helps explain why Sogdian communities could remain influential under successive political regimes.

The cultural dimension is equally significant. Sogdian language and merchant communities created channels through which artistic motifs, religious traditions and commercial practices could travel. The result was not simple cultural



World Conference on Social Sciences, Law and Public Policy

Hosted Online from Toronto, Canada

Date: 26th June 2026

Website: <https://econferencia.com>

diffusion from one center to another, but a process of adaptation in which Central Asian communities selected and transformed influences arriving from different civilizations.

Conclusion

The sixth–eighth centuries witnessed the consolidation of a highly interconnected Central Eurasian system in which Sogdian communities played a role extending far beyond ordinary trade. Their networks linked Central Asian oasis polities with the Turkic Khaganate, Tang China, the Sasanian Empire, Byzantium and the western steppe. Their importance derived from the combination of commercial specialization, diaspora organization, multilingual communication, diplomatic access and cultural adaptability.

The evidence concerning Maniakh and the 568 embassy demonstrates particularly clearly that Sogdian merchants could influence interstate diplomacy when commercial interests coincided with the strategic objectives of powerful states. Their efforts contributed to the development of alternative routes around Sasanian territory and strengthened the northern connections of the Silk Roads. Accordingly, Sogdians should be understood as active agents in the formation of Eurasian connectivity. They did not simply transport goods between civilizations; they helped construct the social, economic and diplomatic infrastructure through which those civilizations interacted. This perspective places Sogdian communities at the center of the history of early medieval international relations in Central Asia and highlights the importance of non-state actors in the making of transregional political and economic systems.



World Conference on Social Sciences, Law and Public Policy

Hosted Online from Toronto, Canada

Date: 26th June 2026

Website: <https://econferencia.com>

References:

1. Отахўжаев А. Илк ўрта асрлар Марказий Осиё цивилизациясида турк суғд муносабатлари. – Т., 2010. – 73-78-б.
2. Boboyorov G'.B. Markaziy Osiyoda VI-VIII asrlarning 2-yarmidagi siyosiy-etnik jarayonlar (O'rxun-Enasoy yozuvidagi manbalar asosida). T.f.n. diss-yasi. T.: 2003. – B. 68 (196 b.)
3. de la Vaissière, É. Sogdian Traders: A History. Leiden: Brill, 2005.
4. Erdemir, H. "The Nature of Turko-Byzantine Relations in the Sixth Century AD." Belleten 68, no. 252 (2004).
5. Kageyama, E. "Use and Production of the Silks in Sogdiana." Bulletin of the Society for Near Eastern Studies in Japan 45, no. 1 (2002): 37–55. DOI: 10.5356/jorient.45.37.
6. Yoshida, Y. "The Sogdian Merchant Network." Oxford Research Encyclopedia of Asian History. Oxford University Press, 2021. DOI: 10.1093/acrefore/9780190277727.013.491.
7. Sims-Williams, N. "Ancient Letters." Encyclopaedia Iranica, 1985; updated 2018.
8. Хатамова М. Суғднинг исломгача бўлган чоғлардаги девор расмлари // Madaniyat chorrahalari. – 2023. – 5 jild, 4 son. – 4–9 b
9. Кляшторный С.Г., Султанов Т.И. Государства и народы Евразийских степей. – СПб., 2004. – С. 100.
10. Yoshida, Y. "Personal Names, Sogdian i. In Chinese Sources." Encyclopaedia Iranica, 2006; updated 2012.
11. Маликов А.М. Этнокультурные процессы в Согде в эпоху раннего средневековья: тюрко-согдийские взаимовлияния // ИМКУ. — Ташкент: Фан, 2008. — № 36. — С. 128.



World Conference on Social Sciences, Law and Public Policy

Hosted Online from Toronto, Canada

Date: 26th June 2026

Website: <https://econferencia.com>

12. ERALOV A. BUXORO VILOYATIDA TURIZM SOHASINING RIVOJLANISH ISTIQBOLLARI //News of UzMU journal. – 2024. – T. 1. – №. 1.2. – C. 8-11.

13. Ergasheva Y. A., Eralov A. J. Prospects of development of eco-tourism, agro-tourism, and mountain tourism in Surkhandarya region //BIO Web of Conferences. – EDP Sciences, 2024. – T. 93. – C. 05002.